TD. 400 (REV. )					•	
OAL FILE NUMBERS	NOTICE FILE NUMBER	REGULATORY AC	TION NUMBER	EMER	GENCY NUMBER	3.
TOMBLITO		or use by Office of Admir	nistrative Law (O/	AL) ordýlb J	L 14 PM 3: 29	
				<i>(</i> -	(*Fine on	
		-		U IMIMA &	FFICE OF STRATIVE LAY	7
				1"1 60 1 1 1 1 1 1	OTHALITE LAY	<i>†</i>
						ł
CONCY MITH I	NOTICE RULEMAKING AUTHORITY			REGULAT	IONS	AGENCY FILE NUMBER (If any)
	Agriculture					PH0633
	OATION OF NOT	105 /0 / / /		Madian Danie	atau\	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
. PUBLI	CATION OF NOT	ICE (Complete for	TITLE(S)		T SECTION AFFECTED	2. REQUESTED PUBLICATION DATE
SUBJECT OF	NOTICE		(III CC(G)	11.10	, 52511511111125125	
NOTICE TYPE	E Proposed	4. AGENCY CO	NTACT PERSON	TELE	PHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER (Optional)
	ory Action Other			(	<u>)</u>	
OAL USE ONLY	ACTION ON PROPOSED 1 Approved as	Approved as		proved/	CE REGISTER NUMBER	PUBLICATION DATE
	Submitted	Modified  Modified	Withd		latione)	
i. Subivi	ISSION OF REGU	ILATIONS (Comple	te when subi	mung regu	iauoris)	
	F REGULATION(S)				1b. ALL PREVIOUS RELATE	ED OAL REGULATORY ACTION NUMBER(S)
	oth Eradication Area		( = / o.	TIONION (Inclu	ding title 26, if toxics-	rolated)
. SPECIFY	CALIFORNIA CODE	OF REGULATIONS TIT	LE(S) AND SEC	HON(S) (meiu	ung title 20, it toxics-	selateu) .
	N(S) AFFECTED					
	ection number(s) dividually)	AMEND		,		
***		3591.6(a)				AMANDATA
TTLE(S)	3	REPEAL				
B. TYPE OF	FILING	1				
Dogular	- Pulomakina I	Resubmittal of disapproved	or with-	mergency (Gov.	Emergency Read	Resubmittal of disapproved of disapproved of withdrawn emergency filing
	Rulemaking Code, § 11346)	drawn nonemergency filing (Gov. Code, §§ 11349.3, 11	349.4)	Code, § 11346.1(b))	Gov. Code, § 1	1346.1(h)) (Gov. Code, § 11346.1)
Certifica	ate of Compliance: Th	e agency officer named l	pelow certifies the	at this agency c	omplied with the provisi	ons of
	ment Code §§ 11346.2	2 - 11346.9 prior to, or wi			te of the regulations list	ed above.
Print Or		(Cal. Code Regs.,	title 1, § 100)		Other (specify)	
. ALL BEGINN	IING AND ENDING DATES O	F AVAILABILITY OF MODIFIED I	REGULATIONS AND/C	OR MATERIAL ADDE	D TO THE RULEMAKING FILE	. (Cal. Code Regs. title 1, §§ 44 and 45)
- creening	DATE OF DEGLE ATORY OF	ANGES (Gov. Code, §§ 11343.4,	11346 1(d))			
Effective :	30th day after Secretary of State	Effective on filing with Secretary of State	Effect	ive (Specify)		
. CHECK IF TH	HESE REGULATIONS REQUI	RE NOTICE TO, OR REVIEW, C			RENCE BY, ANOTHER AGEN	CY OR ENTITY
Ueparti SAM §	ment of Finance (Form §6660)	(21D, 388)	Fair	Political Practic	es Commission	State Fire Marshal
Other (	Specify)					
. CONTACT P			TELEPHONE NUM	MBER	FAX NUMBER (Optional)	E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional)
Stephen S			( ) 654-10	017	( ) 654-1018	sbrown@cdfa.ca.gov
8.		-d	Handalia a terri	and carract	conv of the reculati	an(e) identified on this form
l cer	tity that the attache	ea copy of the regular scified on this form is	ion(s) is a true true and corre	eanu correct ect. and that :	copy or the regulati am the head of the	on(s) identified on this form, agency taking this action, or
inat i a des	signee of the head	of the agency, and ar	n authorized to	o make this c	ertification.	against saming sine sections of
	F ACENCY HEAD OR DESIG					DATE
	Vsron_					D7-13-06
<u></u>	AND TITLE OF SIGNATORY					

Amend Section 3591.6(a) to read:

(a) Proclamation of Eradication Area. That portion of the State of California described as follows, within which gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*, is known to exist, is hereby proclaimed to be an eradication area with respect to said pest, and as such is amenable to the provisions of Article 4 (Sections 5761, 5762, and 5763) of Chapter 8, Part 1, Division 4, of the Food and Agricultural Code of California.

(1) The entire counties of <u>Los Angeles, Marin, Nevada, Riverside, San</u> Diego and Santa Cruz.

Continued.

NOTE: Authority Cited: Sections 407 and 5322, Food and Agricultural Code.

Reference: Sections 5322, 5761, 5762, and 5763, Food and Agricultural Code.

# FINDING OF EMERGENCY

The Secretary of the Department of Food and Agriculture finds that an emergency exists, and that the foregoing regulation, or amendment to the regulation, is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, or general welfare, within the meaning of Government Code Section 11346.1 and Public Resources Code Section 21080.

# Specific Facts Showing the Need for Immediate Action

Gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*, is a serious pest of fruit, forest and shade trees. In the eastern United States the pest has, by repeated defoliation, killed many trees. If left uncontrolled it can destroy native forest and wooded areas and, in orchard and urban areas, pesticide use may be necessary to eradicate the insect and prevent damage to crops and ornamental and native trees. A defoliated watershed increases both fire and erosion potential.

Gypsy moth is a pest of national concern. The United States Department of Agriculture also maintains a Federal Domestic Quarantine Notice against gypsy moth (Section 301.45, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations) to prevent the artificial spread of this pest to uninfested areas.

The gypsy moth goes through four stages of development: egg, larva, pupa, and moth. It usually has one generation per year, overwintering in egg masses attached to trees, stones, walls, logs, and other outdoor objects such as outdoor furniture, automobiles, and trailers. The female moths lay their eggs in the summer in egg masses which may contain up to 1,000 eggs. In California, the eggs usually hatch in February or March, but may hatch earlier. The larvae are the destructive stage and feed until pupating in early summer. The moths emerge from the pupal or cocoon stage in 10 to 14 days as adult moths. The pests do not feed in the moth stage, but only mate and lay eggs. Hosts of the gypsy moth include oak, apple, willow, cherry, and maple.

Adult gypsy moths have recently been trapped detected in the County of Los Angeles. On July 12, 2006, three adult male gypsy moths were taken from a trap in the Pasadena area of Los Angeles County. These finds of mature male gypsy moths are indicative of an incipient infestation of the moth in the Pasadena area of Los Angeles County.

If the moth were allowed to spread and become established in urban, agricultural or native forests, California's forestry and nursery industries would suffer losses due to decreased production of marketable crops, host trees, and shrubs; increased pesticide use; and loss of markets if other states or countries enacted quarantines against California products. Severe damage to native plantings and forests would also occur.

The entire County of Los Angeles is proposed as part of the eradication area because it is the political division which provides the most workable eradication area boundaries for exterminating an established gypsy moth infestation. Hosts and other possible carriers which may have already been moved from the infested area to another portion of the county and moths which may have already spread naturally from the infested area may have already resulted in small infestations outside the known infested area. To enable rapid surveys and treatments of any of these small infestations without frequent amendment of the regulation, the entire county should be established as an eradication area.

The gypsy moth has the capability of causing significant irreparable harm to California's forest industry and environment. While the Department's compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) are separate actions, they can be interrelated. Although the amendment of specific regulatory authority can be the beginning of a project and therefore covered by CEQA, this is a ministerial action for an emergency and an action also for the protection of natural resources and the environment by a regulatory agency and is therefore exempt from the requirements of the CEQA statutes, under PRC Section 21080, and under Sections 15268, 15269, 15307 and 15308 of the CEQA Guidelines.

This amendment will provide authority for the State to perform control and eradication activities against gypsy moth in Los Angeles County. This authority includes, "The searching for all stages of gypsy moth by visual inspection, the use of traps, or any other means." It is immediately necessary to perform these activities within the Pasadena area of Los Angeles County. To prevent spread of the moth to noninfested areas to protect California's agricultural industry and environment, it is necessary to begin treatment activities against the moth by late winter. Therefore, it is necessary to amend Section 3591.6(a) on an emergency basis.

# **Authority and Reference Citations**

Authority: Sections 407 and 5322, Food and Agricultural Code.

Reference: Sections 5322, 5761, 5762, and 5763, Food and Agricultural Code.

# Informative Digest

Existing law obligates the Department of Food and Agriculture to protect the agricultural industry of California and prevent the spread of injurious pests (Food and Agricultural Code, Sections 401 and 403). Existing law states, "Inasmuch as plants growing in native stands or planted for ornamental purposes contribute to the environmental and public health and welfare needs of the people of the state, the Legislature hereby finds and declares that such plants shall be considered as a part of the agricultural industry for the purpose of any law that provides for the protection of the agricultural industry from pests (Food and Agricultural Code Section 24.5)."

Existing law also provides that the Secretary may establish, maintain, and enforce eradication regulations as he deems necessary to circumscribe and exterminate or prevent the spread of pests. The eradication regulations may proclaim any portion of the State as an eradication area and set forth the boundaries, the pest, and the means and methods which may be used in the eradication of said pest.

Section 3591.6(a). Gypsy Moth Eradication Area.

Existing regulations establish Marin, Nevada, Riverside, San Diego and Santa Cruz counties as eradication areas for gypsy moth; set forth the hosts of the moth; and set forth the means and methods which may be used in the control and eradication of the moth in the eradication area. The proposed amendment to the regulation will establish Los Angeles County as part of the eradication area for gypsy moth.

The effect of the changes in the regulations is to provide authority for the State to perform control and eradication activities against gypsy moth in Los Angeles County to prevent spread of the moth to noninfested areas to protect California's agricultural industry and environment.

# Mandate on Local Agencies or School Districts

The Department of Food and Agriculture has determined that the proposed amendment of Section 3591.6(a) does not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts and no reimbursement is required under Section 17561 of the Government Code.

#### Cost Estimate

The Department has determined that the regulation will involve no additional costs or savings to any state agency because funds for state costs are already appropriated, no nondiscretionary costs or savings to local agencies or school districts, no reimbursable savings to local agencies or costs or savings to school districts under Section 17561 of the Government Code, funds for reimbursement for costs to local agencies have already been appropriated, and no costs or savings in federal funding to the State.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT NAME

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD, 399 (Rev. 2-98; electronic version 8-00)

See SAM Sections 6600 - 6680 for Instructions and Code Citations

TELEPHONE NUMBER

Food and Agriculture DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	Stephen S. Brown	(916) 654-1017
obscriptive title from <i>notice register</i> or form 400  Gypsy Moth Eradication Area, Section 3591.6(a	n)	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
	IMPACT STATEMENT	
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	(Include calculations and a	ssumptions in the rulemaking record.)
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this reg.	ulation:	
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting requi	rements
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive ins	stead of performance standards
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Exp Fiscal Impact Statemen	
h. (cont.) The amendment of this regulation provides eradication activities against gypsy moth in Los Ang		
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Eco	nomic Impact Statement.)	
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: $\underline{0}$ Describe the	types of businesses (Include nonprofits):	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that	t are small businesses:	
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: $\underline{0}$ eliminate	ed:	,
Explain:		
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: Statewide	Local or regional (list areas): Lo	s Angeles County.
5. Enter the number of jobs created: $\ \underline{0}\ $ or eliminated: $\ \underline{0}\ $ Describe	the types of jobs or occupations impacted:	<u>)</u>
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to cor	mpete with other states by making it more cos	ly to produce goods or services?
Yes No If yes, explain briefly:		
B. ESTIMATED COSTS	(Include calculations and a	assumptions in the rulemaking record.)
What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indi	viduals may incur to comply with this regulation	n over its lifetime? \$ <u>0</u>
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs f	or each industry:	·
<ol> <li>If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annua the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, an</li> </ol>	· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? Yes	No If yes, enter the annual doll	ar cost per housing unit:
\$ and the number of units:		

CONTACT PERSON

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98, electronic)

5.	Are there comparable Fede	eral regulations?  Yes No	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or
	absence of Federal regulat	ions:	
	Enter any additional costs t	to businesses and/or individuals that may be o	lue to State - Federal differences: \$
C.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS	(Estimation of the dollar value	of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but is encouraged.)
1.	State and federal hard		no will benefit: This regulation will protect California's urban, and the agricultural industry of California by enabling the unity.
2.	Are the benefits the result of	of: Specific statutory requirements, or	goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
	probability of its spre	ad, and the feasibility of its control o	s obligated to thoroughly investigate the existence and reradication. Then, the Secretary may establish, maintain, erminate or prevent the spread of the pest.
3.	What are the total statewide	e benefits from this regulation over its lifetime?	\$ Potentially very high
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE I		ulations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar efits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but is encouraged.)
1.	List alternatives considered	and describe them below. If no alternatives v	were considered, explain why not here:
	beginning the eradica existing funds and res	tion of gypsy moth from the area in I	ed and rejected. It was determined that at this time, Los Angeles County was feasible using the Department's
	Alternative 2:		:
2.	Summarize the total statew	vide costs and benefits from this regulation and	d each alternative considered:
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$ Potentially very high	Cost: \$ <u>0</u>
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$ <u>0</u>	Cost: \$ Potentially very high unknown private and public sector costs as the exotic moth spreads to uninfested areas resulting in urban landscape losses, impacts to natural habitats and quarantine restrictions on the intra and interstate movement of possible carriers and hosts.
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$
3.	Briefly discuss any quantific	cation issues that are relevant to a comparisor	of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
4.	Rulemaking law requires a	gencies to consider performance standards as	s an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or
	equipment, or prescribes s	pecific actions or procedures. Were performa	nce standards considered to lower compliance costs?
	Explain: There are no	known compliance costs. All eradica	ation activities shall be conducted by the Department.
E.	MAJOR REGULATIONS Boards, offices and departs	ments within Cal/EPA are subject to the follow	(Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) ving additional requirements per Health and Safety Code section 57005.
1.	Will the estimated costs of	this regulation to California business enterpris	ses exceed \$10 million?  Yes  No (If No, skip this section.)
2.	Briefly describe each equa	lly as effective alternative, or combination of a	lternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
	Alternative 1:		

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98, electronic)

Alt	ernative 2:		
3. Fo	r the regulation, and eac	ch alternative just des	cribed, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
	Regulation:	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio:
	Alternative 1;	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio:
	Alternative 2:	\$	Cost-effectiveness ratio:
			FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
A. Fis	SCAL EFFECT ON LOC	AL GOVERNMENT	(Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)
1.	. Additional expenditure Article XIII B of the Ca	es of approximately \$ alifornia Constitution a	in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code. Funding for this reimbursement:
	a. is provided in	(item, Budge	et Act of) or (Chapter, Statutes of).
	b. will be reques	sted in the Go (FISCAL YEAR)	overnor's Budget for appropriation in Budget Act of
2.			in the current State Fiscal Year which are not reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section ion and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because this regulation:
	a. implements the	ne Federal mandate c	ontained in
	b. implements the	ne court mandate set	forth by the court in the case of vs
	c. implements a	mandate of the peop	ele of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No at theelection.
	d. is issued only	in response to a spe	cific request from the, which is/are the only local entity(s) affected.
	e. will be fully fir	nanced from the (FEES, R	authorized by REVENUE, ETC.)
	Section	of the Cod	de.
	f. provides for s	avings to each affecte	ed unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each such unit.
3	. Savings of approxima	tely \$ annual	ly.
4	. No additional costs or regulations.	savings because this	s regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law and
<u> </u>	. No fiscal impact exists	s because this regulat	tion does not affect any local entity or program.
⊠ 6	Other: No fiscal in conducted by the	-X	use all of the eradication activities specified by this regulation will be
B. FI	SCAL EFFECT ON STA	TE GOVERNMENT	(Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)
1	. Additional expenditure	es of approximately \$	in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:
	a. be able to ab	sorb these additional	costs within their existing budgets and resources.
	b. request an in	crease in the currently	y authorized budget level for the fiscal year.
2	. Savings of approxima	itely \$ in the c	current State Fiscal Year.

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98, electronic) 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program. 4. Other: No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not mandate eradication and eradication activities will use existing funds and resources. C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations a assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Year

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS  assun	Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and aptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)
Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current S	tate Físcal Year.
2. Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year	r.
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any	federally funded State agency or program.
4. Other:	
SIGNATURE	TITLE
Z JAM JIM	Special Assistant
AGENCY SECRETARY 1 APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE   BUILDING	07-13-06
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 2 APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands the
impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the
highest ranking official in the organization.

<sup>2.</sup> Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of the Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399. However, Finance must immediately receive a copy of each STD. 399 submitted to OAL without Finance signature, and Finance may subsequently question the "no fiscal impact" finding of a state agency.

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SECTION 3591.6(a) GYPSY MOTH ERADICATION AREA

		~~
	çirkine.	
<b>C</b>	( h	
	É	
		July 21

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

PDR NUMBER 1352797 Date collected 7/12/2006

			HEALTH , PREVENTION		VICES				Lab	ENTO PLAI		NEMA VERT	Time 12:00:00 AM
<b>V 3.5</b> 7	<b>'</b>	PES	TANE	DA	MAGE	REC	ORI	)	NOR No Numbe	imber:			RUSH
Location	: 19	Owne	r/Receiver			ة. بكتابيت	omit de la constante de la con		harman an	ctor : Denr			1
Activity		Owne	Transfer of the Party of the Pa	Rich Con-	Ing displays of the	NO 28 25	run Kenfalan di A	Section Section				E U C	Describe other:
Situation	: 63	Addre	ss/physica	descri	otion				Quar	antine Shipp			
Section	1:	City		State	Zip code				Nam	e	.des <u>(8,0,0</u>		
Township:		Count		CA	1				Addr	ess			
Range:		Phone	Angeles	Fax	Latitude				City			Zip cod	······································
Base and merid	lan	Email			34.1678 Longitude	16987	77788	<u> </u>	State	e/Country			
			Street		-118.121	5808	5000	2	/ Phon		τ=		
		Was	hington	Blyd.					1		Fax	Latitud	
Quarantine destina	stion :	************							Emai	4		Longitu	de
Quarantine origin i	where	e host	grown)	.,				Carrier	(groun	d/alr/maritli	ne)		w
City	ounty			State/	Country		ZIP	Busine	ss nam	e		Filght	number
Shipment size (Inc	lude u	inits)		Progra	ım			License	plate	Licens	e state	Tall/s	hlp number
Submitter remarks Limantria disp		timiconor.				Gene	ral or f	lant Pal	hology	Caralles Cara	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Suspect:		***********	***************************************							es involved: ants affected	۹,		
Send report to: Name: Phone: Fax: Email: Contact by:						Flant	parts a		_	Widespread			
Entomology					A HAY	F Ban	k ssoms		Fruit	s or corms or nuts	ار ار	saves, upper sticle ootlets	Surface   Stem
Trap number   Gri 4932-GM2	d nun		Last servic <b>6/27/2</b> 0	e date I <b>06</b>	Latitude	☐ <sub>Bra</sub>	nches, l	arge	Gro	ving tips	Ľ,	outlets	Trunk
Trap type GM trap			Trap densi	ty	Longitude	F Bu	inches, 1s	erminal		e roots es, lower surf	T <sub>I</sub>	1pers	
Survey method					L	<u>Plants</u>	yngtor	ь Г	Limited	T General			
		THE RESIDENCE OF STREET		, ,		Car Cole C Fru C Gal	back it not it spot		Leaf	rnal discolorat	ion FM	alformation arginal burn oot rot ough bark hot hole	Slow decline Stunting Sudden collapse Wilting Yellowing
Sample Sample Tag	j L	ocation	n Descriptio	on			Latitus	ie.		Remarks (	condition	s, life stage	a, pest count, etc.)
	q	)uantit	y and unit		Lot number		Longit	ude					
<u></u>	r num	ber pe	r (sweep, )	eaf, acr	e, trap, root		Con	ology: ditions jes: [	Fgg F	ad T Alive I	Unkno	Pupa 🎜	Adult
Nematology:	Samp	је Тур	e:				Botan	//Vertei	rate:	Acreage ne	t:		AAAAA 60 ( AAAAA 60 ( AAAA 60 ( AAAA 60 ( AAAA 60 (

	Location Description			Latitude	Remarks (conditions.)	ife stage, pest count, etc.)
Sample Tag	<u></u>					
	Quantity and unit	Lot nun	nber	Longitude		
Total pest count stem etc) Count:	or number per (sweep, leaf,	acre, trap, o	root,		Dead Alive Unknown	
Nematology:	Sample Type:			Botany/Vertebra		
Host		0.44	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			
Host Tag	Common Name Ornamental	J1365F- A*1.00	Scientific	: Name	Type (plant, fruit, seed)	Nema Fleid Block Type
nusc ray	Variety	Containe	r Size	Quantity and unit	Nema Field Block	
						1
	Remarks					
Identifi	cations			Datas		
Lab Entomologi	cations Scientist Marc Ep			Rating A		on Name / Mothsee notes
Lab	Cations Scientist Marc Ep	stein			Gypsy	
Lab Entomolog Date	Cations Scientist Marc Ep	stein		A Family LYMANTRI Species	Gypsy	
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus	Cations Scientist Marc Ep	stein		A Family LYMANTRI	Gypsy IDAE	
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi	Cations Scientist Marc Ep Order Lepidop	ostein		A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar	Gypsy IDAE	
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi	Cations Scientist Marc Ep Order Lepidop	ostein	łA type.	A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar	Gypsy IDAE	
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi Three male	Cations Scientist Marc Er Order Lepidor  fication Notes S. Awaiting determena	ostein	VA type.	A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar	Gypsy IDAE	
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi Three male	Cations  Scientist  Marc Ep Order Lepidop  fication Notes  Awaiting determena  Mat Immat	ostein  otera  tion of DA  ure: ure:	XA type.	A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar Higher Catego	Gypsy IDAE  Sry  Egg: Larva:	Moth-see notes
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi Three male	Cations  Scientist  Marc Ep Order Lepidop  fication Notes  Awaiting determena  Mat Immat	ostein  otera  tion of DN  ure:	dA type.	A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar Higher Catego	Egg: Larva: Nymph:	Moth-see notes
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi Three male	Cations  Scientist y Marc Ep Order Lepidop  fication Notes s. Awaiting determena  Mat Immat Ma	tion of DN ure: ure: ted:	YA type.	A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar Higher Catego	Gypsy IDAE  Sry  Egg: Larva:	Adult:
Lab Entomology Date 7/13/2006 Genus Lymantria Sub-Species General Identi Three male	Cations  Scientist  Marc Eg Order Lepidop  fication Notes  Awaiting determena  Mat Immat Ma Egg	ostein  otera  tion of DA  ure: ure:	dA type.	A Family LYMANTRI Species dispar Higher Catego	Egg: Larva: Nymph:	Adult:  Male: Female: